

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,273	01/16/2001	Stephen Andrew Howell	CE01538R	3549
7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Jonathan P Meyer			CRAVER, CHARLES R	
Motor Inc Intellectual Property Section Law Department 1303 East Algonquin Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2682	\sim
Schaumburg,	IL 60196		DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Examiner Charles R Craver 2682 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Charles R Craver The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
Charles R Craver The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133). 	
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 July 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) \Box objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:	

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Messiet, US Pat 5,875,404 in view of Martineau, US Pat 5,915,226.

Claims 1, 5-7, 11-14: Messiet discloses a data carrier system and method comprising a first and second SIM card 1 and 2 couplable to a communications device 5, the second couplable in preference to the first (col 2 lines 25-47), wherein inherently power is provided during a determined time (via a battery) and wherein the second SIM may be decoupled from the device (col 2 line 66-col 3 line 60), thereby reverting to the first SIM for executing a task.

Messiest fails to disclose that the first SIM is selectively couplable to the device, but rather is affixed semi-permanently. However, Martineau discloses in a similar device using two SIM cards, that the first card may be permanent as well, or may be removable like the second (col 5 lines 18-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Messiet to allow the removal of the first card in order to allow for easier upgrades i.e. getting a card with

Art Unit: 2682

more memory for numbers and messages etc and provide a more 'modern' device, see Martineau col 1 lines 61-67.

Lastly, providing power to a device for a predetermined time after a command to extinguish power to the device was a commonplace feature at the time of the invention, as evidenced by a cellular phone (such as that taught by Martineau) which, when a power-off button is pressed, waits a predetermined time to cut power in order to save data. As such the examiner takes Official Notice of such a feature, asserting that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have sought to include such a feature in Messiet in view of Martineau in order to allow phonebook and address data etc. to be properly saved and not lost.

Claims 3, 9: the use of a sleep mode in a cellular device was notoriously well-known at the time of the invention as well, and as such the examiner takes Official

Notice of such a feature, asserting that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to add a sleep mode to Messiet in view of

Martineau in order to save power. Claims 4, 10: Messiet discloses that the first card may be substantially permanently in engagement with a means for receiving the card.

Claims 22, 23: the use of a mobile telephone as taught above in a vehicle or emergency (911) situations was commonplace in the art at the time of the invention (emergency calling features being mandated by government), and a car-cradle means and 911 calling means would have occurred to one of ordinary skill in the art as standard features or accessories for a cellular device. Claim 24: Martineau discloses a cellular telephone.

Art Unit: 2682

Claims 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Messiet in view of Martineau as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Siccardo et al, US Pat 6,115,605, newly cited.

Claims 15-18: While disclosing applicant's invention of claim 7 above, Messiet in view of Martineau fail to disclose GPS location determining means as well as address means.

Siccardo discloses the utility of providing a GPS locating means to a cellular phone including a SIM smartcard such as that taught by the combination of Messiet in view of Martineau as shown above (col 8 lines 37-50), wherein the user may update address data determined by the GPS system (col 6 lines 49-61, col 8 lines 1-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add such a feature to Messiet in view of Martineau, as Siccardo discloses that such improves emergency call response.

Claim 19: Siccardo discloses emergency call use (col 3 lines 46-58).

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 for both formal and informal/draft communications, labeled as such.

Art Unit: 2682

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, sixth floor (receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Charles Craver at (703) 305-3965.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-4700.

CC

C.Craver

October 18, 2004

CHARLES CRAVER
PRIMARY EXAMINER